

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/14/2002

APPLICATION NO.	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/668,064		09/25/2000	HIRO	OKI SUZUKAWA	684.3077 1752		
5514	7590	01/14/2002		•		A	
	FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO					EXAMINER	
	30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112				YOUNG, CHRISTOPHER G		
					ART UNIT	PAPÉR NUMBER	
•					1756	11	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



The state of the s

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summons	09/468,064	Suzukawa		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit		
	Young	175%		
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet be	neath the correspondence a	ddress—	
		noun are correspondented at	au oo	
P riod for R ply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MA	ILING DATE	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and I not period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by define a failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sometimes after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the reterm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	a reply within the statutory mini ault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro statute, cause the application to	mum of thirty (30) days will be consi m the mailing date of this communic b become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. (idered timely. cation. § 133).	
Status			•	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	9/25/2000		•	
☐ This action is FINAL.			·	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19			closed in	
Disposition of Claims				
		is/are pending in the app	olication	
Of the above claim(s)				
			iisideration.	
□ Claim(s)		is/are rejected.		
□ Claim(s)		is/are objected to.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
✓ Claim(s) /-30	•	are subject to restriction	or election	
Application Papers		requirement	Or election	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved (□ disapproved.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj				
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)		(4)		
 □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the: 	y under 35 0.5.0. 9 119 (a)	-(a).		
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been	a raccivad		5	
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been	Trebelled:			
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume				
in this national stage application from the Internation				
*Certified copies not received:				
			<u> </u>	
Attachment(s)				
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper I		terview Summary, PTO-413		
□ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	i vijeko en enzemate na N e	otice of Informal Patent Applica	ation, PTO-152 *	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-9	948 □ O	ther		
Office	Action Summary			

A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

4

PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

A Compart of the Comp

Part of Pap r No. -

Art Unit: 1756

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121: 1.
 - Claims 1-20, drawn to a method, classified in class 430, subclass 30. I.
 - Claims 21-30, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 355, subclass 18. II.
- The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions of Group I and of Group II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus could be utilized to perform a standard exposure without the feedback control information.
- Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- A telephone call was made to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to C. Young whose telephone number is (703) 308-2984.

CHRISTOPHER G. YOUNG PRIMARY EXAMINER

cgy January 11, 2002